



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/028,734		12/28/2001	Morio Gaku	2001-1911	4414	
513	7590	02/13/2003				
	•	ID & PONACK, I	EXAMINER			
2033 K STRE SUITE 800			ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA			
WASHINGTO	ON, DC	20006-1021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1725	U	
				DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003	003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/028,734

Applicant(s)

Gaku et al.

Examiner

M. Alexandra Elve

Art Unit 1725



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the co	ver sheet	with the correspondence address	
	for Reply				
THE	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In rigidate of this communication.	no event, ho	wever, may a	reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the distance of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire he application	re SIX (6) MON n to become A	NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					١
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				<u>.</u> .
2a) □	This action is FINAL . 2b) This acti	ion is no	n-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	•		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Disposi	ition of Claims				
4) 🗹	Claim(s)			is/are pending in the application.	
2	4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from considerat	ion.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.	
6) 🖳	Claim(s)	<u>, </u>		is/are rejected.	
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.	
8) 🗆	Claims		are su	bject to restriction and/or election requirem	nent.
	ation Papers				
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗌 a	ccepted o	or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr	rawing(s') be held ir	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		is: a)	\square approved b) \square disapproved by the Exa	miner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	to this Of	fice action	1.	:
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.			į
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority un	ider 35 U.	.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have			4	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have				
	3. The Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	au (PCT	Rule 17.2	2(a)).	
14)					
	The translation of the foreign language provisional	•			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
Attachm		P ,			
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Inte	rview Summa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	
2) No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) 🗌 Not	ice of Informa	al Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) 🔲 Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) 🗌 Oth	юr:		

Application/Control Number: 10/028,734 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 11-13 & 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maeda et al. (US Pat. 4,751,146) in view of Hanson (US Pat. 5,863,446).

Maeda et al. discloses a laminate printed circuit board. It is constructed of several layers; one of the layers is made up of mixtures of ethylene/comonomer copolymer, heat-conducting inorganic filler, glass fiber (or glass cloth or mat). Another layer is an electrically conductive layer which may be a metal foil, metal plating or metal deposition. Copper is one of the metals used. Other layers may contain a thermosetting resin and a heat-resistant thermoplastic layer. Although Maeda et al. teaches a circuit board which is used for mounting semiconductor devices, through hole device affixation is not specifically taught.

Hanson discloses using a laser to make blind vias and through vias in a laminate substrate (printed circuit board). Vias are drilled using a laser with energy densities per pulse from 2 J/cm² to 10 J/cm². Additionally, a polymeric photoabsorptive layer (auxiliary material) was applied on the top surface of the laminate substrate in order to enhance the quality of a via entrance. The

Application/Control Number: 10/028,734 Page 3

Art Unit: 1725

exit variance of a through via can be enhanced by applying a polymeric photo-absorptive layer on the exposed bottom surface of the laminated substrate and a conductive layer in intimate contact with the photo-absorptive layer (backup sheet). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to drill vias in a printed circuit board, as taught by Hanson, in the Maeda et al. board because these are merely variations used for device affixation.

The prior art discloses a product substantially similar to a claimed product, differing only in the manner by which it is produced. It has been held that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have considered the claimed compositions to have been obvious because of the similarity in the properties. The burden falls to the applicant to show that any process steps associated with the claimed product result in a materially different product from those of the prior art, because there is nothing in the record before the examiner to reasonably conclude that applicant's product differs in kind from those obtained by the references. See In re

Brown 173 USPQ 685 and In re Fessmann 180 USPQ 324.

3. Claims 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maeda et al. in view of Hanson, as stated in paragraph 2, above and further in view of Gannon (US Pat. 5,916,401).

Maeda et al. and Hanson teach the presence of a polymeric photoabsorptive layer (auxiliary material) applied to the top surface of the laminate substrate in order to enhance the quality of a via entrance, but do not teach the use of a water soluble material.

Application/Control Number: 10/028,734 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

Gannon discloses the use of a coating on a substrate. One suitable coating material is a water soluble polymer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a water soluble polymer, as taught by Gannon, in the Maeda et al. and Hanson polymeric photoabsorptive layer (auxiliary material) because of the ease of removal in a

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See US PTO-892.

manufacturing environment and hence enhanced production efficiency.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is (703) 308-0092. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn, can be reached on (703) 308-3318.

Any inquiry of general nature to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

February 9, 2003.

M. ALLIANDRA ELVE PRIMARY EXAMINER